

Art Unit: 1797

1. Applicant's amendments and arguments of April 6, 2010 have been fully and carefully considered and are persuasive. Accordingly the rejection made under obviousness over Lah and Antalffy et al. is withdrawn. Upon reviewing the claims in preparation for allowance, the examiner noted that in claims 4 and 5 applicants referred to "A system", the claims are directed to a "method" and have been prosecuted as such. Accordingly the preamble of claims 4 and 5 has been changed by the Examiner.
2. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it **MUST** be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

The application has been amended as follows:

In the Claims:

Claim <sup>4</sup>4, line 1, delete "The system" and insert --The method--

Claim 5, line 1, delete "The system" and insert --the method--

3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art fails to teach a method for installing a deheader valve onto an existing or new coke drum which includes initially positioning the body and valve and then raising the valve to mate with the flange using a lifting device. The prior art fails to teach and or suggest specifically horizontally moving the body and the valve to initially position the body and valve as claimed.
4. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably

XAM  
8/13/10